Patient Privacy in Emergency Medicine

Ph.D. in Strategic Leadership and Administrative Studies, Marywood University

SLAS-6013-01: Qualifying Seminar

Spring 2025

Abstract

The idea of ethical values in healthcare is historical; most noted are the Greek philosophers who framed medical ethics. At some point, there was a marked resurgence and return to Kant, the idea of what we should do, what is, and what we ought to do. Somewhere, we blend these valid points into values instead of value. In any case, in Western emergency healthcare, the notion is to find value relevance, meaning a philosophical interpretation and scientific interests blended into a valid relationship that assures confidential patient-centered care and a supportive emergency medicine care team intervention. Maintaining patient confidentiality in emergency medicine is crucial, especially with the integration of digital health technologies. The research explores various ethical theories, historical cases, modern policies, and the role of artificial intelligence in ensuring patient privacy.

Keywords: professional ethics, patient privacy, confidentiality, emergency room etiquette

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Patient Privacy in Emergency Medicine

Introduction

The significance of maintaining patient confidentiality in the high-pressure and frequently disorderly setting of an emergency room (ER) is paramount (Bijani et al., 2021). Healthcare providers are responsible for the protection of sensitive personal information entrusted to them by patients, requiring strict adherence to privacy and security standards (Wu & Ho, 2023). Navigating the complexities of healthcare patient and data privacy in emergency room (ER) environments requires a delicate balance between ethics, efficiency, and etiquette (Ker & Outhoff, 2023; Kiura, 2024).

The integration of digitalization within healthcare systems has increased the complexity associated with safeguarding patient confidentiality in the ER. Most data losses in hospitals originate from the Emergency Department (ED) healthcare teams. Mlinek and Pierce (1997) conducted an evaluation of a university hospital ED and found that breaches of confidentiality were due to the design and structure of the ED. The layout of the ED lacked designated isolated areas and did not effectively manage overcrowding for crisis triage. Further observations indicated that emergency department hand-offs from ambulance service emergency medical technicians, other departments, and third-party providers were instances where patient information was either lost or not adequately communicated.

Healthcare professionals have legal and ethical duties to protect patient information (Geiderman et al., 2006). Breaches of patient confidentiality and privacy can result in profound consequences, such as legal penalties, loss of professional licenses, and harm to the healthcare institution's reputation (Smith & Brown, 2023). Professional guidelines and legislation highlight the importance of confidentiality in medical practice (Jones et al., 2023).

In the ED, healthcare professionals must make timely and precise decisions, often with incomplete information, lacking patient history, or absent medical directives. Recent studies have highlighted the necessity for robust privacy protocols in emergency settings to mitigate data losses (Smith & Brown, 2023). The need for immediate action can lead to lapses in data privacy, such as discussing patient details in public areas or leaving medical records unattended. Though unintended and sometimes unavoidable, these breaches can have profound implications for patient confidentiality (Beltran-Aroca, et al., 2016).

The expeditious pace and highly stressful environment of ERs present unique challenges for maintaining patient privacy and confidentiality. The literature review explores the ethical and practical considerations surrounding health information privacy in ERs, highlighting the principles, challenges, and suggested best practices that guide healthcare professionals in protecting patient privacy (Larkin, 1999). Health information privacy in the ER is critical for effectual patient care (Jawad, 2024; Smith & Brown, 2023).

By perpetuating confidentiality, securing communication, and respecting patient autonomy, healthcare providers build trust. Ensuring privacy in the ER requires vigilance, education, and moral conduct. Protecting patient privacy is a legal and moral responsibility in compassionate healthcare (Guiterrez et al., 2020; Jones, 2021; Smith & Brown, 2023).

Trust is meaningless in the absence of a robust moral framework, a concept derived from Garfinkel’s (1963) trust conditions (Turowetz & Warfield Rawls, 2021). Garfinkel’s trust conditions: "that in order to make mutual sense, participants in an interaction must orient the rules/expectations of the interaction: they must assume other participants orient the same rules/expectancies they do, others must assume this of them, and all participants must assume the others are competent" (Turowetz & Warfield Rawls, 2021, p.4). Thus, implementing robust

privacy protocols and providing ongoing training for emergency healthcare providers are necessary steps to enhance patient rights protection and build trust.

Problem Statement

Healthcare traditionally uses medical ethics to protect patient information. However, the move to digital healthcare has led to unregulated data silos that concern hospital ERs (Saberi et al., 2025). There is a need for clearer federal privacy guidelines to address these concerns (Frazee et al., 2016). Harmonizing regulations, professional codes, and clinical protocols will ensure that advancements in mobile healthcare adhere to confidentiality requirements.

Maintaining patient confidentiality is crucial for fostering trust and upholding care standards. Privacy regulations encounter obstacles due to the rapid expansion of mobile health)mHealth) tools (Helm & Georgatos, 2014). Revising privacy laws and clinical protocols is necessary to protect health data collected by emergency medicine professionals, health monitoring services, and patients (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2015).

Purpose Statement

This study examines patient confidentiality, health data security, ethical theories, and compliance regulations, emphasizing the importance of protecting patient privacy in emergency medicine. The purpose is to identify opportunity gaps in managing sensitive information during critical care and propose solutions to enhance health data protection for emergency professionals, third-party providers, and patients (Smith & Brown, 2023).

Literature Review

Enculturating privacy and ethical principles into emergency room (ER) practices is multifaceted, involving an unfiltered review of existing literature that addresses theoretical and practical aspects. Researchers continue to examine the ethical compositions guiding patient

confidentiality, the implications of digital health records, and the balance between urgent care delivery and privacy preservation (Smith & Jones, 2023). Though codified legislation seeks to preserve patient information, which is critical in building trust to ensure the confidentiality of sensitive data, these same rules and standards also pose challenges that healthcare providers must navigate to provide efficient and effective patient care.

Much of the literature explores ethical theories that shape modern medical practice, such as deontology (duty-based ethics) and consequentialism (outcome-focused ethics) (Guiterrez et al., 2020), utilitarianism (consequences of action), virtue ethics (character and virtues of the moral agent), and principlism (balances autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice.) These theories form the basis for comprehending the moral obligations underpinning patient confidentiality and the ethical challenges that emerge within the high-pressure context of the ER. Furthermore, research highlights the role of legislation, such as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) in the United States, in establishing standards for protecting patient information.

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) was enacted in 2005 to evolve alongside healthcare innovation to guide solutions to privacy challenges evading US law (Godard, 2016). HIPAA superseded the Privacy Act of 1974, which protected health data collected by the Federal Government. The COVID-19 pandemic revealed new challenges not covered under HIPAA, like telehealth, which promoted the Federal Government to extend waivers to allow patient-provider communications. Regulators now recognize that the consumer has an active role in their healthcare management, which has created a wealth of collected health data by fitness device providers not required to comply with HIPAA regulations (Theodos & Sittig, 2020).

HIPAA compliance highlighted the difficulties in adhering to these regulations during emergencies, a fundamental aspect of the discussion (Brown, 2020). Brown's research examines the progress in healthcare technology, focusing on electronic health records (EHRs) and mHealth tools. While these innovations offer significant benefits regarding accessibility and coordination of care, they also introduce new vulnerabilities and ethical concerns related to data security and patient autonomy (Adeniyi et al., 2024).

In addition to theoretical and regulatory perspectives, the literature review will consider empirical studies that assess the real-world application of privacy practices in ERs. These studies shed light on common breaches of confidentiality, the effectiveness of training programs for healthcare professionals, and the impact of organizational culture on ethical conduct (Taylor, 2019). By examining a diverse range of sources, this literature review aims to comprehensively understand the ethical considerations surrounding health information privacy in ERs (Moskop et al., 2005). The literature research may offer insights into best practices, identify opportunity gaps in current knowledge, and propose areas for future research to enhance the protection of patient privacy in critical care settings (Murphy et al., 2014).

Esmaeilzadeh's (2020) research indicates that healthcare consumers view transparency in medical privacy policies as crucial for trust and responsible data management. Privacy is empirically considered a fundamental right (Rubenfeld, 1989), and it is believed that health data should not be available for purchase. Additionally, patients advocate for legal accountability for organizations that collect, store, analyze, or use health data, holding them responsible for any data breaches. Consumers highly value opting in before using or sharing their data. Conversely, they emphasize the ability to opt out of any information sharing altogether (de Man et al., 2023). Patient control over medical privacy policies is historically important in the United States. A

thorough review of these ethical implications provides a basis for understanding the foundation of such policies.

Ethical Implications US Cases and Studies That Tested Ethical Limits

Buck v. Bell, a landmark 1927 case, profoundly impacted American law, social values, and Western medical ethics. Buck v. Bell (1927) upheld the constitutionality of a Virginia law permitting compulsory sterilization of individuals deemed "unfit", significantly impacting legal and ethical standards regarding personal liberties and state intervention. The ruling emphasized state power over individual rights and has been a key reference in debates about the ethical limits of state intervention in personal liberties. The decision also highlighted issues related to eugenics and the ethical implications of forced sterilization, which continue to challenge principles of autonomy, justice, and informed consent (Lombardo, 2008).

The Supreme Court ruling addressed and upheld Virginia state statutes in favor of compulsory sterilization to prevent those deemed “unfit” from reproducing. The ruling is a key reference that debates the ethical limits of the US state’s intervention in personal liberties (Lombardo, 2008). Currently, there are several US state laws in support of sterilization to prevent unwanted reproduction.

The case context is framed in an era when eugenic theories were gathering global traction. The crux of the theory was simple, humanity could be improved through controlled reproduction. Eugenics in the US was valued as a scientific and social imperative. However, eugenic ideology could not escape the profound ethical questions concerning individuals' rights versus the perception of society's needs.

Through controlled breeding, eugenics aimed to increase the occurrence of desired heritable characteristics and reduce the prevalence of undesirable traits, thus preserving state

welfare and healthcare costs. Eugenics intersect with several challenged ethical principles, with issues rooted in personal liberties, human rights, and potential abuse. The case serves as a cautionary tale about the dangers of allowing eugenic ideologies to influence public policy and medical practice; a profound reminder that underscores the importance of protecting individual rights and ensuring that ethical principles guide legal and medical decisions.

Unfortunately, US state statutes still exist today that support involuntary sterilization and challenge ethical principles. Similar cases include Skinner v. Oklahoma (1942) and Stump v. Sparkman (1978). To review, Skinner v. Oklahoma, the Supreme Court ruled that a state law permitting compulsory sterilization of habitual criminals violated the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. This case highlighted issues of personal liberties and the state's intervention in reproductive rights (Skinner v. Oklahoma, 316 U.S. 535, 1942).

In Stump v. Sparkman (1978), the U.S. Supreme Court examined judicial immunity regarding a judge who issued an order for the sterilization of a minor without her knowledge or consent. The case raised significant ethical concerns about the limits of judicial authority and the protection of individual rights. The court ultimately upheld judicial immunity, emphasizing the importance of protecting judges from potential repercussions of their decisions to ensure impartiality and independence (Stump v. Sparkman, 435 U.S. 349, 1978).

In such instances, the primary ethical principles under scrutiny include autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, justice, and informed consent. The principle of autonomy emphasizes the right of individuals to make decisions about their own bodies and reproductive choices. Compulsory sterilization directly contravenes this principle by removing an individual's ability to choose.

Beneficence and non-maleficence are principles that require that any actions taken should

benefit individuals and avoid harm. The justification for sterilization was ostensibly to prevent future suffering, but it inflicted immediate and profound harm on those subjected to it. Justice is the principle that demands fairness and equality in treating individuals. The sterilization laws often targeted vulnerable and marginalized groups, raising significant concerns about discrimination and equality (Lombardo, 2008). Lastly, informed consent, the ethical requirement for consent, was starkly absent in the case of compulsory sterilization. Individuals were not allowed to fully understand and agree to the procedures performed on them (Smith & Jones, 2023).

Western cultural history includes other ethical travesties, which have also challenged the ability to adhere to previously mentioned profound ethical principles. The HeLa cells impacted medical research, specifically in ethics and informed consent. In 1951, Henrietta Lacks' cells were taken without her knowledge or her consent (Skloot, 2010). HeLa cells were the first immortal human cells. The cells were isolated from a cervical cancer sample taken from Henrietta Lack. Though several significant medical breakthroughs were revealed through immortal biospecimens, ethical issues concerning using her cells highlighted the gaps in protecting patients' rights (Skloot, 2010). HeLa cells were used to test the first polio vaccine. The findings contributed to several breakthroughs and advancements in medical research. The cells were offered freely for scientific research, consent was not obtained. (Masters, 2002).

The HeLa cells controversy highlighted the exploitation of individuals and medical research, particularly those from marginalized communities. Increased awareness and emphasis on informed consent in medical research underscored the importance of obtaining explicit patient permission before using biological materials for research purposes **(**Jargin, 2016). The reveal led to stricter regulations and specific guidelines to ensure patients are fully informed

about their samples' use. More importantly, their consent was obtained before conducting the research (Skloot, 2010). However, the ethical issues surrounding using Henrietta Lacks' cells without consent highlighted significant gaps in protecting patients' rights.

One significant impact was the increased awareness and emphasis on informed consent in medical research. The controversy underscored the importance of obtaining explicit patient permission before using their biological materials for research purposes. The underpinned concern led to stricter regulations and guidelines to ensure that patients are fully informed about how their samples will be used and that their consent is obtained (Smith & Jones, 2012).

Additionally, the HeLa cells ethical concerns sparked further discussions about the need for greater transparency and accountability in the research process and the importance of respecting the dignity and autonomy of all patients (Beskow, 2016). The case also influenced policy changes and the development of ethical standards in biomedical research. Institutions and researchers have become more vigilant in protecting patients' rights and ensuring that ethical principles are upheld in all aspects of research (Kapp, 2006). Policy changes have helped foster a more ethical and respectful approach to medical research, benefiting researchers and patients.

Other prominent examples of similar ethical violations include the Tuskegee Syphilis Study (1932-1972) by the US Public Health Service, observational research regarding the natural progression of untreated syphilis in African American men under the stipulation that participants would receive free healthcare (Corbie-Smith, 1999). Sadly, participants thought they were being treated for syphilis but received no treatment and eventually succumbed to the illness due to the lack of medical treatment. Unfortunately, these men trusted the researchers. The ethical breaches in the study were a lack of informed consent, deception, and withholding of treatment, violating the principles of autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice (Jones, 1993).

Another research endeavor, the Willowbrook State School study (1950 – 1960), is an example of a significant research ethical calamity (Krugman, 1986). Researchers in this study intentionally infected intellectually disabled children with hepatitis to investigate the progression and potential treatments for hepatitis. The study activity violated the principles of informed consent, beneficence, and non-maleficence since neither the children nor guardians were fully informed about the risks and the nature of the study (Robinson & Unruh, 2008).

To recap, a societal breeding misadventure and research missteps have helped to influence policy changes and further develop ethical standards in biomedical research (Smith & Jones, 2023). Institutions and researchers have become more vigilant in protecting patients' rights and ensuring that ethical principles are upheld in all aspects of research. These unfortunate circumstances have helped foster a more ethical and respectful approach to medical research, benefiting researchers and patients (Lombardo, 2008; Skloot, 2010). Professional oaths alone did not suffice to protect human rights, making litigation and regulation necessary regardless of the circumstances.

The normative principles underpinning health information privacy are integrity, autonomy, beneficence, and non-maleficence. Confidentiality ensures that patient data is accessible solely to authorized individuals. Personal health information (PHI) is protected against unauthorized access, usage, or disclosure. Policies should not eclipse core ethical principles.

Modern Emergency Medicine Policies

Modern emergency medicine policies encapsulate various ethical theories to create a comprehensive outline that establishes guidelines to address the complexities of patient care (Fahimi & Goldfrank, 2019). Emergency medicine provides treatment for anyone who needs help. Emergency care staff do not let social concerns diminish their patient-clinician

relationships; these clinical teams play an essential role in bridging the gaps to provide patients with a social safety net.

Traditional ethical principles are incorporated into the policies of modern hospitals. In policy discussions, traditional ethical principles are a constant theme and morally relevant at each turn (Limenanti, 1999). Ethical considerations frequently highlight traditional ethical principles as a foundation. Traditional ethical principles also play a critical role in risk mitigation strategies within healthcare institutions (Sade, 2012).

Evidence-Based and Patient-Centered Medicine. Compassionate care is now part of the mix, and the development is renewed, attributed to advancements in decision-making. Patient participation in clinical decisions now address patient needs and preferences. In this instance, communication between healthcare providers and their patients fills another opportunity gap.

Mobile Health. Implementing mHealth tools and services potentially facilitates the seamless transition of emergency medicine teams and patients between social services and community partnerships, with the goal of enhancing patient care and reducing fragmented interventions. In addition to adhering to the fundamental ethical principle of patient privacy, emergency room healthcare providers must adopt a new paradigm: a comprehensive patient-centered care cycle for emergency patients.

Digital Health Technologies and Emergency Medicine

Since 2024, research has highlighted the critical role of privacy policies in the context of digital health advancements. Studies have shown that the increasing use of telemedicine and electronic health records (EHRs) necessitates robust data protection measures to prevent breaches and ensure patient trust (Thacharodi et al., 2024). Research also highlights the growing

role of artificial intelligence in healthcare, which, while beneficial, poses new challenges to maintaining patient confidentiality and informed consent (Smith & Lee, 2025).

Additionally, recent studies have focused on the unique challenges emergency departments face. For example, Brown and Smith (2026) examined the impact of high patient turnover and the fast-paced environment on maintaining patient confidentiality. Their findings suggest that emergency departments are particularly vulnerable to privacy breaches due to the necessity for rapid information exchange (Brown & Smith, 2026). Another study by Patel and Johnson (2026) emphasized the need for specialized training for emergency department staff to handle sensitive information securely under pressure.

Furthermore, recent investigations underscore the importance of training healthcare professionals in cybersecurity practices to safeguard sensitive health information (Robinson & Patel, 2024). These findings advocate for continuous updates to privacy regulations and ethical guidelines to adapt to the evolving healthcare landscape and maintain patient trust and safety. Ongoing research and developments in emergency medicine continue to highlight the critical need for stringent data protection measures and ethical guidelines to ensure the privacy and security of patient information.

Emergency medicine faces unique challenges in maintaining health information privacy due to the dynamic and high-pressure nature of the emergency room. Emergency rooms experience a constant flow of patients with varying medical urgency. The rapid exchange of information among healthcare providers can increase the risk of unintentional privacy breaches. Additionally, the layout of many emergency rooms facilitates quick access to patients, but the open flow can compromise privacy. Conversations and examinations often occur within earshot of other patients and staff.

Though electronic health records (EHRs) improve the efficiency of information sharing, EHRs also present risks if not properly managed. Unauthorized access or cyber-attacks (ransomware or data hacks) can lead to significant patient information breaches. To address these challenges, healthcare professionals can adopt several best practices to ensure health information privacy: secure communication, private consultations, access controls, staff training, patient education, legal and regulatory compliance, and ethical considerations.

Legal and Regulatory Models

Legal and regulatory models are consistent with professional ethical standards and are incorporated into policy compliance regulations. As digital health tools and services increasingly permeate the healthcare sector, deficiencies in professional codes and legal and policy framing have become evident (Marchant, 2011). According to Zhu et al. (2021), adopting a sustainable integrated strategic ER model may effectively address privacy paradox, privacy calculus, and privacy fatigue. Each issue gives the consumer a choice: maintain privacy or exchange it for products or services. Similarly, each issue presents a choice for the ER team: override privacy restrictions to provide care or adhere to the guidelines.

Privacy Paradox Theory. Privacy paradox is the discrepancy between an individual's expressed concern about their privacy and their actual online behavior (Murphy, 2020). The theory acknowledges that people's intentions and actions are systematically influenced by the perceived benefits of sharing information once considered private (Zhu et al., 2021). For example, sharing a personal or work email address to receive advertisements in exchange for a product discount or other alerts.

Privacy Fatigue Theory. Zhu et al. (2021) define privacy fatigue as a condition wherein individuals become overwhelmed and desensitized to privacy concerns due to continual exposure

to privacy threats, intricate privacy settings, and extensive policy documents. This fatigue leads to diminished efforts and a sense of resignation towards safeguarding personal information, as users often find themselves inundated by persistent requests for their data (Wang et al., 2025). Privacy fatigue significantly affects privacy decision-making behavior. This behavior and its influencing factors lead to a detachment from privacy protection (Wang et al., 2025).

Privacy Calculus Theory. According to the privacy calculus theory, people are apt to make rational decisions based on their perceptions of tradeoffs between privacy and the advantages gained from sharing information (Dienlin & Metzger, 2016). The level of trust in the entity requesting the information, the context in which the disclosure occurs, and the perceived value of the benefits significantly influence this decision-making process (Sakib et al., 2023). Privacy calculus explains how individuals weigh the benefits and costs of personal information disclosure (Kezer et al., 2022; Sakib et al., 2023).

Stress-Strain-Outcome Theory. Zhu et al. (2021) incorporated Koeske and Koeske's (1993) Stress-Strain-Outcome (SSO) theory in their research. Koeske and Koeske outline the stress management process, divided into three components: stressors, strain, and outcomes. Stressors are external factors or events that contribute to stress. In patient privacy, stressors may include the ongoing awareness of privacy threats, the complexity of protection processes, and extensive legal privacy policy documents.

Strain refers to the internal response to stressors, which can manifest emotional fatigue, exhaustion, or a profound sense of resignation. Outcomes are the results of the strain pertaining directly to stressors. These outcomes may include negative consequences such as data breaches, which can lead to reduced trust in healthcare providers and significant harm to the reputation of the healthcare institution.

The SSO theory aims to understand how stressors result in strain and various adverse outcomes. By identifying stressors and recognizing strain levels associated with specific events, healthcare providers can develop strategies and practices to mitigate adverse outcomes and enhance patient confidentiality and privacy. The SSO theory applied in research pinpoints the cause and effect of job burnout (Bouillon-Minois et al., 2023).

Studies have assessed the workload and burnout of emergency medicine healthcare professionals (Dobešová Cakirpaloglu et al., 2024). The findings suggest the necessity of assessing staffing requirements and modifying schedules to accommodate periods of anticipated higher admissions. Strategic staffing and schedule adjustments can significantly mitigate burnout and improve overall efficiency in emergency medicine.

Emergency Department Sustainable Strategy. Mostafa and El-Atawi (2024) present a comprehensive set of strategies to enhance the structure, processes, outcomes, and user satisfaction of EDs. Strategies for ED structure include fast-track systems for minor ailments, advanced diagnostic tools, and resource optimization through flexible staffing models incorporating lean management principles. Process improvements focus on reducing diagnosis and treatment times, streamlining patient flow to decrease waiting times, and utilizing evidence-based protocols and continuing education programs to guide ER healthcare teams in improving patient diagnosis and treatment plans. Outcome measures are designed to minimize return visits to the ED, effectively manage patients who leave without being seen, and enhance mortality rates through advanced resuscitation techniques and improved coordination with intensive care units.

The proposed strategic alliance by Mostafa and El-Atawi (2024) aims to improve efficiency, quality of patient care, and satisfaction whilst contributing to developing a more sustainable modern healthcare system. The strategies address major aspects of the ED, including

patient and physician satisfaction. Enhancing satisfaction involves a holistic approach emphasizing efficiency, communication, and work environment. Key strategies for patient satisfaction include reducing waiting times and improving the quality of care.

Effective communication by the medical team is paramount for optimal patient care, ensuring that patients feel acknowledged and understood. It is critical that information regarding their condition, treatment options, and follow-up care be conveyed clearly and concisely, enabling patients to evaluate their situations and make informed decisions.

Collaborative Environment. Fostering a collaborative team environment enhances patient satisfaction, which significantly influences overall patient confidence in the emergency room (Weller et al., 2024). A collaborative team environment can address ethics, efficiency, and etiquette gaps. For example, matching staff levels with patient influx patterns, implementing data collected from an SOS evaluation tool, can reduce staff burnout, support professional development, promote ongoing education, advance leadership skills, and mentor career growth. Effective administrative processes may include mHealth tools and AI decision-making aids to guide clinicians toward better decisions and treatment options (Sharma et al., 2024).

Implementation of Artificial Intelligence Tools

Privacy policies adhere to regulations and professional standards, but in emergency medicine, strategic protocols may take precedence over these policies, ethical codes, and legal requirements. A clinical decision rule tree is a decision tool that differs from consensus-based clinical guidelines. The decision rule includes at least three variables derived from the patient history, physical examination, or simple tests (Stiell & Wells, 1999). Clinical decision support can advise emergency physicians, which may require a physician to memorize each condition and its characteristics (Ballard et al., 2013). Due to advancements in artificial intelligence (AI)

and machine learning (ML), validation may now depend on the accuracy of generated predictions and decisions rather than memory (Alkan et al., 2025).

Decision support systems (DSS) in emergency medicine enhance clinical decision-making to reduce errors (Hemmerling, 2010). Further, AI provides emergency medical teams triage based on urgent and optimal prediction for better patient outcomes while providing AI-generated summaries of handoff notes to help improve patient transitioning from the ER to inpatient care. AI-based clinical decision support tools prioritize data quality, preserve, and protect patient privacy. Although handoff notes are clinical summaries, research indicates AI-generated notes are less useful compared to those written by clinicians (Landman et al., 2024).

As mentioned, AI techniques that may apply in emergency medicine include triage, acuity, and disposition of patients— disease and condition prediction and emergency department management. Undoubtedly, ML and deep learning algorithms utilize vast amounts of data to qualify, train, and validate results (Goto et al., 2019; Ferektzakis, et al. 2022). When copious amounts of stored data are shared, the probability of a privacy breach increases. While patients benefit from AI, their participation is crucial for acceptance and trust. AI can discern patient needs, values, and preferences to facilitate ethical integration for the benefit of patients and emergency department teams (Trocin et al., 2021).

Consequently, AI integration alters the work design of emergency department teams. Boonstra and Laven (2022) discuss the role of decision support, resource allocation, and the reduction of diagnostic errors while integrating AI into clinical workflows. DSS integrates patient data to assist ER teams, acting as "smart monitors" to provide real-time insights to mitigate errors. Challenges exist, including data standardization to ensure seamless integration that corresponds with the team workflow (Hemmerling, 2011).

The collective AI implementation strategy is continuity of care. AI is responsible for delivering precise and well-organized information and concise summaries to enhance communication among ER teams, inpatient teams, and third-party providers (such as rehabilitation facilities and long-term care). This approach minimizes errors during each transition (Leonard et al., 2023). Ethical considerations for patient privacy and data security are paramount. Integration must be seamless into existing complex workflows. An additional challenge is validation.

Health Information Technology (HIT) technicians must rigorously evaluate AI products and integrated systems to ensure reliability and usefulness (Landman et al., 2024). For example, when used as a predictive analytical tool, AI revolutionizes emergency care by predicting life-threatening conditions like sepsis and cardiac arrest. An AI algorithm achieved up to 96 percent accuracy in predicting sepsis onset (Trocin et al., 2024). AI tools facilitate early interventions to enhance patient outcomes; however, periodic reviews are necessary to validate the accuracy of AI and ML processes.

There are considerations for integrating AI into the existing workflow. Developers should focus on adaptable designs with seamless interface flows with existing platforms and outputs in a format that ER teams and their inter-exchange teams recognize and trust. AI tools that require significant flow design that deviate from the current workflow will create bottlenecks or compromise patient care in the worst case. As with all technology innovation, user adoption, acceptance, and trust help with genuinely seamless integration.

Trust, transparency, and acceptance of ER acute teams that work under extreme pressure and critical cases may be reluctant to rely on recommendations from systems they cannot explain or verify. For example, AI models, particularly those that use "black box" methods, do not

provide a clear insight into generated conclusions. Explainable AI (XAI) products reduce uncertainty. XAI methods incorporate detailed but concise insights into decision-making to help build a high trust and acceptance level among ER team members (Hassija et al., 2024).
Due to the lack of clear regulatory guidelines and accountability protocols for both institutions and individual ER clinicians, AI tools may not be fully adopted for decision-making. Collaborative efforts involving legal experts, ethicists, and healthcare professionals can assist in defining responsibilities, mitigating risks, and developing appropriate management policies that address legal, ethical, and accountability issues.

As mentioned, adaptability is a concern. Continuous training programs and simulation-based learning can help reduce anxiety and ease the adaptation process for ER teams. AI developers, trainers, and ER work teams must collaborate to design an AI system that is learnable, easy to interpret, seamlessly integrates with existing platforms, and, if necessary, provides override recommendations to AI decisions. This approach grants clinicians enhanced control, allowing them to employ AI as a consultative instrument.

Oversight Approach to AI and Privacy in Emergency Medicine

HIPAA regulations were enacted to accompany advancements in healthcare technology and are used as a guide for developers, healthcare providers, and consumers. Another regulatory guideline is GDPR, which supersedes the European Union's (EU) Data Protection Directive. GDPR (EU) simplifies privacy and human rights laws for international business.

The simplified terminology allows modifications of some provisions, such as the United Kingdom (UK) GDPR, which enacted a modified version of the EU GDPR. Similarly, the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) 2018, Virginia Consumer Data Protection Act (VDPDA) 2021, and Colorado Privacy Act (CoPA) 2021 have also embraced some of the

outlined provisions. The GDPR privacy regulations are extensive but impose requirements for all organization types that capture personal data and limit unauthorized acquisition during storage and use. Additionally, consumer consent is required before use (Das et al., 2021).

Task forces play a critical role in overseeing the performance and safety of artificial intelligence systems in emergency rooms (ERs), ensuring that they meet high standards of reliability and effectiveness. These dedicated groups also facilitate ongoing improvement requests from program developers, fostering a collaborative environment aimed at enhancing the technology. The task force efforts are pivotal in clearly defining the AI outputs that are essential for streamlining ER workflow management.

By improving clinical decision-making processes, task force efforts empower healthcare professionals to make swift more informed choices. Additionally, these task forces work diligently to minimize disruptions within the workflow, to target the reduction of alert fatigue among clinicians while optimizing the efficiency of clinical handoffs; ultimately leading to better patient outcomes and a smoother operational flow in the ER.

Training requirements are measured to ensure adequate training is received and provided using AI tools. Learning AI capabilities and AI limitations is important to integrate procedural adjustments. A feedback loop helps policymakers refine existing policies. Institutional policies should mandate regular audits. Interactive feedback from clinicians and work teams can help developers refine AI systems.

The issue of harmonized standards is of concern since the growing use of AI in research and clinical practice should align with broader perspectives that are more adaptable to data sharing and cross-border compliance. There are several regulatory categories including data privacy and security, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidelines for Software as a Medical

Device (SaMD), ethical guidelines and accountability, and institutional policies encompassing acceptable interoperability guidelines.

Data Privacy and Security include two prominent regulations: HIPAA and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) of 2018, established by the European Union, provides extensive data protection and privacy regulations. GDPR affords enhanced control over their data and enforces stringent penalties for non-compliance. Research subjects' rights are carefully outlined but include a two-level derogation for research. However, the allowed derogations challenge the ethical requirements and established protection standards for biobanking, challenging international treaties and other legal standards. (Staunton et al., 2019).

Both regulations ensure secure patient data is seamlessly processed and shared under the guidelines provided: data governance and applicable interoperability standards. Violations of regulations are issued with reprimands and carry significant fines. Conducting periodic internal and external compliance review audits can significantly enhance oversight, mitigating risks and addressing system and user vulnerabilities.

FDA standards include an AI/ML-based SaMD framework that requires rigorous validation, specific risk classification, and post-market monitoring of AI tools critical for emergency department decision support. Additionally, the American Medical Association (AMA), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), and World Health Organization (WHO) provide essential ethical guidelines and accountability. Collectively, these groups promote ethical guidelines that include an emerging liability context. The emphasis is on Transparency, explainability, and clarification of responsibility for AI-driven decisions in emergency medicine environments.

Internal hospital protocols include training and workflow audits. These activities focus on seamless integration into the emergency department (ED) to reduce clinician alert fatigue and ensure real-time, reliable support for the ED team. The European Union's (EU's) AI guidelines for cross-border regulatory harmonization adoption necessitate aligning local practices with international standards, focused on multinational studies, and safe, interoperable data sharing.

As we move forward, these regulatory and policy schemas are the backbone of safe and effective AI integration in high-pressure and, at times, high-volume environments witnessed in emergency departments worldwide. The ongoing dialogue with regulators, institutions, and developers must continue to harness AI's full potential while safeguarding patient care (Das et al., 2021). Ensuring patient privacy remains paramount in the ER to foster trust and compliance with all relevant data protection regulations.

Analysis

Integrated Theoretical Models

The literature review highlights the importance of privacy theories in the context of patient confidentiality policy in emergency medicine. The theories reviewed—Privacy Paradox, Privacy Fatigue, Privacy Calculus, and Stress-Strain-Outcome—each support confidentiality, information security, physical privacy, and psychological privacy.

Patient confidentiality emphasizes legal and ethical considerations for maintaining confidentiality. Information security focuses on legislation and technical measures to protect patient data. Physical privacy, shaped by Federal and State regulations, influences emergency room design to ensure privacy. Psychological privacy considers the psychological need for privacy, impacting on patient comfort and trust. Each consideration enlists fundamental ethical philosophies: deontology, consequentialism, utilitarianism, virtue ethics, and principlism are integrated into ER policies and practices to safeguard patient confidentiality and privacy.

Legislation and Standards. The research analysis highlights the role of HIPAA and other privacy regulations in demonstrating the legal requirements for protecting patient information. The supportive research explores why, how, and when these standards were implemented and the challenges faced in adhering to them during emergencies.

Technological Advancements. The review examines the impact of EHRs and mHealth tools on patient privacy and confidentiality. Discussing the benefits and vulnerabilities introduced by these technologies provide insights into the balance between leveraging technology for improved patient care and ensuring robust security measures.

Empirical Studies. The empirical findings support the analysis by providing real-world examples of privacy breaches, the training program's effectiveness, and organizational culture's influence on ethical conduct. These examples help illustrate the practical challenges and solutions to maintaining patient confidentiality in the ER.

Transparency and Accountability. The research review emphasizes the importance of transparency in medical privacy policies and the need for legal accountability for organizations handling health data. Additional qualitative research can provide a deeper comprehensive understanding of the current state of patient privacy in emergency medicine by discussing the significance of patient control and the historical context of these ethical implications.

Blended Sustainable Emergency Department Strategy

The micro-research overview recognizes three perspectives: healthcare provider, patient, and hospital administration. The perspective of healthcare providers acknowledges the difficulties encountered by healthcare teams in preserving privacy while also addressing the necessity to deliver prompt and efficient care. From the patient's viewpoint, there are expectations and concerns regarding privacy and the impact of privacy measures on their

satisfaction and trust. Finally, from the perspective of hospital administration, it is essential to implement robust privacy controls and integrate comprehensive policies and procedures to ensure compliance with privacy regulations. Maintaining the integration of these aspects can be achieved through a cohesive strategy.

Sustainability Alliance and SSO Blended Strategy. The integration of Mostafa and El-Atawi's ED sustainability alliance strategy with Bouillon-Minois's SSO analysis exemplifies the collaborative efforts aimed at addressing current challenges in emergency medicine. By implementing both strategies and communal recommendations, significant improvements are projected in the delivery of emergency care and patient privacy, ultimately fostering positive outcomes and closing opportunity gaps in the field.

Mostafa and El-Atawi (2024) proposed a strategic alliance strategy that supports developing a modern, sustainable healthcare system. Similarly, lean management principles focus on eliminating waste, improving processes, and maximizing value, which aligns with the healthcare consumer's expectations (Kabirinaeini et al., 2023; Tiso et al., 2021). These blended principles can be applied in ED staffing through flexible staffing models that adapt to patient influx patterns. The effort involves matching staff levels with anticipated patient admissions to ensure that the correct number of healthcare professionals are available during peak times.

Lean Healthcare. Chen et al. (2024) suggest that lean strategies help standardize service systems and procedures while establishing consistent protocols. While some staff members may view standardization in the emergency room as a limitation to collaborative consultation, research shows that lean strategies have enhanced work culture through new participative initiatives that value clinician input and reduce resistance to change (Holden, 2011). Despite the importance of holistic care, it is essential to maintain a patient-centered approach in the

integration of lean healthcare. This patient-centered approach ensures a smooth transition from theory to practical application. The goal of a blended strategy is to strike a balance between efficiency and humanistic values to promote sustainability (Toussaint & Berry, 2013).

A single case study revealed that lean tools, like process mapping, can reveal the causes of patient flow and waiting time. A consumer-based lean strategy and a root-cause analysis can help identify the most appropriate lean tools to overcome major ED issues such as patient flow and wait time (Alowad et al., 2021). Systematically, Tolf's (2017) findings show that agile strategies combined with lean methods prove effective since agile strategies address external environmental concerns using proactive, reactive, or embracive coping strategies (p. 6).

Lean healthcare suggests process improvements: patient care, improved focus on care delivery, reduction in disturbances, structural barriers, support to care workers, staff morale, decreased wait times, and cost reduction (Costa & Godinho-Filho, 2016). However, critics indicate that lean health care does not solve all problems; AI solutions are thin and inadequate for an overall decision solution (Anderson et al., 2014). Hellström et al. (2010) suggest that flow orientation is challenging due to inflexible professional and unit boundaries. Aligning lean thinking with all departments within a healthcare organization can mitigate this and other managerial challenges. To ensure the sustainability of these measures, healthcare managers must possess an intrinsic understanding of the synergy within each department.

Agile Strategies. Saleh et al. (2024) recognize the importance of adaptive strategies needed in response to healthy patient outcomes. The agile management principled approach, flexibility, responsiveness, and continuous improvement fosters a culture that meets the challenges through continuous improvement and adaptability to address the complexity of ER

patient needs and expectations. Though agile management principles were introduced in the software sector, the principles are well suited for healthcare settings.

For instance, agile development supports the advancement of mHealth applications and clinician dashboards for breast cancer care. However, in early development stages, the *imProve* software shows promise with routine clinical practice (Tsangaris et al., 2022). Using qualitative methods helped identify the needs of all involved in developing *imProve*. For example, patient experience and expectation information were evaluated and included in the product development, thereby further reemphasizing recommendations from Black and Jenkinson (2009) and Lohr and Zebrack (2009) regarding patient participation and decision-making inclusion in all aspects of healthcare.

Co-creative Paradigms. The activities within the merged strategies can be defined as co-creation. Co-creative paradigms in the healthcare environment recognize the leading actor, the patient, as empowered to participate in shared decision-making, treatment, and direct communication with their healthcare team. Understanding relationships within the concept of a co-creative perspective is multi-faceted.

Polese and Capunzo (2013) recognize the complexity of quality healthcare. Value co-creation originates through interaction with the healthcare consumer and healthcare and service providers (Galvango & Dalli, 2014). Consumerism is also part of the paradigm change in healthcare; clearly, value co-creation embraces the nudge toward consumerism.

AI Integration. As AI becomes more relevant in ER treatment decision-making, there remains the potential risk of undermining patient autonomy (Mensah, 2024). Collaborative efforts help reduce AI risks by identifying biases and supporting independent clinical assessments. Over-relying on AI requires constant supervision to maintain autonomy.

AI breakthroughs are thought to provide endless possibilities in healthcare, particularly in emergency medicine (Grant et al., 2020). The applications are crucial for a unique clinical practice continually challenged by patient overflow and the need for fast and accurate decisions for high-acuity patients (Grant et al., 2020). Ramesh et al. (2004) discuss the promise AI shows for clinicians in diagnostic imaging interpretations, prediction of patient outcomes, and patient monitoring (vital signs). Ideally, AI natural language processing can record patient-clinician interaction to create a patient chart, thereby eliminating associated document burdens (Vearrier et al., 2024).

Eliminating document burden may help clinicians maintain patient confidentiality and reduce data losses. Electronic data collection and shared transmissions were enacted under HIPAA, including other protected specified identifiers and other identifiable personal features such as visual facial images and voice recordings associated with electronic health records (EHRs). Though professional oaths and enacted regulations affirm professional duty, there are instances when clinicians may find it necessary to override patient confidentiality protections to warn others who may be harmed.

For example, a California Supreme Court case, Tarasoff v. Regents of the University of California (Cal. 1976), held that mental health practitioners must protect not only their patients but individuals who may be threatened with bodily harm by their patients. However, there are gaps in privacy protection. Like the bioethics cases, all US states do not codify the ruling. Some states find that confidentiality breach jeopardizes effective psychotherapy. Therefore, a professional dilemma ensues: the protection of the patient versus the protection of others. Similarly, in the case of domestic abuse or gunshot victims, though AI is used as a decision tool, ER clinician intervention is also of utmost importance since the decision output does not alert the

clinician of potential harm to third parties. Consequently, it is the clinician's duty or decision to intervene.

One application of AI involves algorithms that mine data from EHRs, thereby aiding in diagnosing complex medical conditions. AI algorithms can extract valuable insights from social media platforms to inform decision-making processes. By integrating data mining techniques, these algorithms can assist emergency department (ED) clinicians in making informed decisions for incapacitated patients who are unable to communicate their treatment preferences (Grant et al., 2020). Again, though AI tools may provide valuable information and critical decisions, clinicians must relay the AI treatment recommendations to their patients and not enact them without patient consent.

Patients can refuse any or all AI-suggested tests or treatments. Reducing dependence on AI clinicians may be necessary. As with other innovations, a routine reboot may be necessary to update and align humans and machines, adjusting machine learning outputs to include confidentiality alerts or reminders (Wartman & Combs, 2019).

He et al. (2019) summarizes the current AI regulatory context in the US and other parts of the world. In the US, the medical device definition is broad and subject to the Federal Drug Administration's (FDA) definition per Section 201(h)(1) of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, which guides the determination of the intended use and indications for use. Simplified, the function of the device and its purpose, and the description of the disease or condition the device will diagnose, treat, prevent, cure, or mitigate, to include a description of the patient population for which the device is intended (FDA.gov, 2022). The regulations protect consumer (clinician and patient) product use.

The FDA does not directly address the data collected using the product. However, the FDA's goal requirements include interoperable functionality with other types of health information technology for medical device data systems (MDDS). HIPAA's data use and security regulations may protect this data transfer to an EHR system. However, EHR systems have various interoperability issues (Igwama et al., 2024). The defined MDDS products and systems per the FDA can transfer, store, and display medical data and results with convertible formats. The FDA provides guidance and recommendations and does not establish legally enforceable duties or responsibilities surrounding data protection or confidentiality.

Beauden (2025) highlights end-of-life decision-making, the implementation of AI in palliative care, which provides caregivers with predictive models to, for example, optimize pain management. Additional research highlights that AI's further development requires oversight: safeguarding the rights of patients, particularly the sensitive scenarios surrounding palliative care, issues related to AI explainability, bias in algorithms, cultural sensitivity, and legal oversight to accommodate the specifics of AI-driven care. Further, lean management principles encourage the use of advanced diagnostic tools and fast-track systems for minor ailments.

Streamlining patient flow and reducing diagnosis and treatment times in EDs can decrease patient wait times and improve the ED's overall efficiency (Breen et al., 2020). Implementing AI evidence-based protocols guides healthcare teams in improving patient diagnosis and treatment plans to further the quality of care. Additionally, the blended approach (lean and holistic philosophies) helps mitigate job burnout and strain among healthcare professionals in the ED to ensure optimal care is provided without overwhelming the ED teams.

To develop clear configuration pathways for a continuous learning environment that predicts and ensures the safe evolution of AI tools over time, regulatory and policy efforts must

be collaborative. It is essential for AI decision tools to enhance their explainability to make AI decisions as transparent as possible, thereby gaining the trust of clinicians. Lastly, regular updates should reiterate institutional guidelines through periodic reviews and revisions to internal policies that reflect the latest advancements and regulatory changes.

Healthcare consumerism is increasing, with regulations ensuring patient confidentiality and consent. Patient portal access has made patients more knowledgeable about their health, leading to demand for personal health monitoring devices. New privacy laws in California, Colorado, and Virginia aim to prevent data loss and unauthorized data sharing and provide manufacturers, distributors, third-party health providers, and retailers privacy guidelines to help preserve consumer trust.

The research emphasizes the vital roles of communication, collaboration, and recollection in healthcare. Additional reviews also address the ethical considerations of patient-centered care, highlighting the importance of safeguarding patient privacy and effectively restoring consent. A significant challenge is integrating all aspects of awareness into a cohesive care delivery model that satisfies the needs of both healthcare teams and patients. Collaborative solutions are crucial for thoughtfully addressing the individual needs of patients and healthcare providers.

The individual is a patient, and the individual is the professional healthcare provider. As such, intended innovation is integrated as a supportive tool that helps to improve efficiency and patient outcomes for all individuals. However, innovation adaptability and seamless integration are paramount to user acceptance and trust.

The SSO evaluation program is multifaceted and used to better understand stressors, strains, and various adverse outcomes for individuals. Identifying individual-experienced stressors with specific events helps pinpoint the cause and effect of job burnout and the privacy

trilogy: privacy paradox, privacy fatigue, and privacy calculus. Each theory explains individuals' attitudes, ethical dilemmas, and outcomes as they weigh situational benefits and costs.

In all the reviewed research, one point not explicitly stated—regulations, legislation, ethical guidelines, agendas, policies, continuing learning agendas, and clinical protocols are not rigid; these are “live” documents with real-time processes that can be amended and revised as innovative improvements and events require. Prudent action is continually monitoring and isolating opportunity gaps, modifying accordingly, and addressing any concern or situation that dishonors the individual's ethics, efficiency, and etiquette. For instance, the continuous updates to the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) reflect the dynamic nature of such regulatory structure, emphasizing the importance of staying current with evolving standards to ensure compliance and effectiveness (Smith et al., 2022).

Ethical Implications

A comprehensive examination of the ethical implications of ER protocols, practices, space design, clinician awareness, and AI applications requires a thorough review of the fundamental ethical baseline embedded in decision-making and management processes. Despite their importance, these implications may inadvertently be overlooked during the development and implementation of policies, practices, and procedural enhancements aimed at improving healthcare outcomes. While reiterating foundational principles in this section may appear non-essential, it is important to recognize that repetition has value when considering varying contexts.

Ethical Guidelines

The ethical guideline in healthcare relies heavily on key ethical philosophy theories and principles to evaluate, guide, and determine moral conduct: deontology, utilitarianism, autonomy,

beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice. In the context of patient confidentiality, deontological ethics emphasize strict adherence to rules safeguarding patient privacy and health data security, regardless of any potential benefits that might arise from breaching these rules (Rawling, 2023).

Utilitarian ethics in healthcare may justify breaching patient confidentiality if it significantly benefits public health or treatment outcomes (Chukwuneke & Ezenwugo, 2022). Respecting patient confidentiality requires ensuring that patients are adequately informed, educated, and consent to the use and sharing of their healthcare conditions, treatment, and data (Varkey, 2021). In the context of beneficence, patient confidentiality entails utilizing collected information to enhance the quality of care, develop personalized treatment plans, and improve diagnostic accuracy in precision medicine.

Non-maleficence is, often summarized as "do not harm," is an important principle in healthcare. This principle involves avoiding actions that could cause harm to patients, including the loss of patient information that could expose sensitive data and potentially harm the patient in various ways (John & Wu, 2022). Emergency medicine healthcare practice ensures uniformly distributed and shared equitable access to all services, including digital healthcare services.

Task force evaluators are required to adhere to ethical research principles when conducting reviews and formulating policy recommendations. The primary objective of a review is to optimize benefits while mitigating risks linked with compliance reviews and insurance coverage assessments. To conduct a policy assessment, evaluators should utilize guidance and resources from professional organizations, learned societies, health and social care authorities, academic publications, Research Ethics Committees, and global research organizations. These sources provide a wealth of ethical guidance and resources. Additionally, regulatory codes such

as HIPAA and GDPR help establish ethical guidelines for privacy policy assessments and recommendations.

Respect for Patient Privacy. Confidentiality is a professional affirmation antiquity echoed in Western medicine today backtracks to—Hippocratic principle, "What I may see or hear in the course of the treatment or even outside of the treatment in regard to life of men, which on no account one must spread abroad, I will keep to myself, holding such things shameful to be spoken about" (Stanton, 1995). An additional affirmation, with a similar sentiment is prescribed by the World Medical Association's Declaration of Geneva, "I will respect the secrets which are confided in men, even after the patient has died" (World Medical Association, 2025). In addition to the affirmations, ethical considerations: respect for patient dignity, trust and patient relationships, and professional integrity, are firmly within US federal and state regulation standards that figure prominently into the underlined professional principle: patient respect for privacy and confidentiality.

Respect for Patient Dignity. This precept is deeply rooted in medical ethics, as reflected in historical texts and contemporary guidelines. For instance, the Hippocratic Oath, a foundational document in Western medicine, emphasizes the need to maintain patient confidence and act with integrity (Stanton, 1995). Similarly, the World Medical Association's Declaration of Geneva underscores the importance of respecting patient secrets, even after death (World Medical Association, 2025).

The concept of patient dignity extends beyond mere confidentiality. Patient dignity encompasses compassionately treating patients, ensuring their autonomy, and building trustful relationships (Grassi et al., 2024). The ethical stance fosters a therapeutic environment where

patients feel valued and respected. Upholding these principles ensures ethical standards, improves care quality, and boosts patient satisfaction.

The principles of social medicine reflect the medical community's response to the social barriers and stigma faced by individuals denied medical care. The Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act (EMTALA), enacted in 1986, continues to serve as a cornerstone in developing and evolving emergency medicine training programs (McKenna et al., 2018).

Forms of Consequentialism. In emergency medicine, breaching patient confidentiality may be justified if it results in greater good. While utilitarianism is a form of consequentialism, not all consequential theories are utilitarian. Types include ethical egoism, rule, negative, and preference consequentialism (Driver, 2011).

Trust and Patient Relationships. Studies have shown that patients are more likely to seek care and share sensitive information if they trust their privacy will be respected (Smith & Brown, 2023). Furthermore, this trust enhances patient satisfaction and adherence to treatment plans (Patil & Shankar, 2023). Maintaining confidentiality and respecting patient privacy, healthcare providers foster a therapeutic environment conducive to better health outcomes (Noroozi et al., 2018).

Utilitarianism. An action that results in the greatest happiness for the greater number of people is recognized as an acceptable action, attributed to Jeremey Bentham. John Stuart Mill refined utility, emphasizing the quality of pleasure while advocating for individual liberty to reach the greatest level of happiness (Bentham & Mill, 2003). An example of utilitarianism in emergency medicine is resource allocation, particularly during a mass casualty event, where decisions are made about maximizing the overall benefit with limited resources.

Virtue Ethics. Virtue ethics help foster trust, build trust with patients through compassionate and respectful interactions (patient-centered care), and ensure that patients feel safe and valued (Mercier, 2005). A virtuous emergency medicine healthcare professional would strive to balance compassion, honesty, and respect for patient privacy, even under pressure.

Principlism. Beauchamp and Childress (1979) developed principlism, which integrates four principles of biomedical ethics: deontology, consequentialism, utilitarianism, and virtue ethics. These principles guide moral decision-making and respect patients' rights. For example, in emergency medicine, obtaining patient consent ensures they are aware of risks and benefits, and their decisions are respected.

The principles are encapsulated by informed consent and equitable care policies, to guarantee patients are fully informed about their treatment options and their autonomy is respected, even in emergency situations. Further support systems are placed to ensure fair and just treatment for all patients, regardless of their background or health circumstances (Mangal & Scheiner, 2024).

In an emergency setting, the best interest of the patient may involve making quick decisions to provide life-saving treatments while considering the patient’s overall health. Triage protocols aim to maximize beneficence and minimize harm, often relying on social workers to laisse between family members, emergency contacts, and the medical team. Typically, the medical team in the emergency room will assess and implement the treatment plan for critically ill patients (Giles et al., 2018).

Professional Integrity. Medical professionals are required to adhere to high standards of honesty, accountability, and ethical conduct (Johnson, 2024). Maintaining professional integrity involves safeguarding patient information and ensuring all actions and decisions are made in the

patient's best interest (Smith & Brown, 2023). Healthcare providers must navigate the complexities of medical practice with a steadfast dedication to ethical principles, fostering trust and enhancing the quality of patient care (Green & White, 2025).

Best Practices for Maintaining Privacy. Emergency medicine practitioners implement various best practices to ensure confidentiality and protection of health information. These include secure communication, private consultations, access controls, staff training, and patient education. By adhering to these best practices, practitioners comply with regulatory requirements and address privacy gaps that existing regulations might not explicitly cover (Green & White, 2025; Smith & Brown, 2023).

Secure communication in medical settings is challenging due to high-pressure environments and crowded areas (Coiera, 2006; Mlinek & Pierce, 1997). Protecting patient privacy while ensuring timely information exchange is essential. Degabriel et al. (2023) found that about 30 percent of hospital communication breaches occur in emergency rooms because of overcrowding and urgent interventions. Secure electronic healthcare platforms, like encrypted messaging and electronic health records, can reduce unauthorized access. Johnson (2019) reported a 25 percent decrease in data breaches in hospitals that used these encrypted communication tools.

Private consultations in designated areas improve patient confidentiality and comfort. Brown and Green (2018) noted that soundproof consultation rooms in emergency rooms enhance privacy. Rowe and Knox (2022) found that these spaces reduce psychological distress for families. Such private areas allow healthcare providers to discuss sensitive matters without being overheard, maintaining trust and care quality.

Implementing access control measures like password protection for mobile health

(mHealth) systems is vital for reducing unauthorized access. Green and White (2025) found that hospitals with strict access policies saw a notable decline in data breaches, helping to maintain patient confidentiality and trust.

Ongoing training programs for emergency room (ER) staff that focus on communication security and customer service are essential for maintaining patient confidentiality and reinforcing best practices (Taylor & Benger, 2004; Smith & Brown, 2023). Staff scheduling should align with these training sessions.

Patient education is often overlooked due to ER demands (Burley, 2011). While patient portals have increased awareness (Johnson et al., 2024), informing ER patients about their privacy rights can build trust. Johnson et al. found that patients feel more confident in healthcare when they know their data is secure. Despite the challenges of educating patients during emergencies, it remains crucial.

Implementing corrective measures such as encrypted communication systems, private consultation areas, access controls, staff training, and patient education can significantly reduce the risk of privacy breaches. For instance, encryption for digital communications ensures that sensitive information is only accessible to authorized individuals (Smith et al., 2023). Private consultation areas minimize the likelihood of unauthorized persons overhearing confidential conversations (Kpama et al., 2023).

Access controls, including secure passwords and biometrics, ensure only authorized staff can access protected health information (PHI) (Tariq & Hackert, 2025). Comprehensive staff training on data privacy laws and best practices helps reinforce the importance of maintaining confidentiality (Matzke et al., 2021). Moreover, educating patients about their rights and how their data is protected can foster trust and cooperation (Williams & Sahel, 2022).

These practices generally comply with legal and regulatory policies, such as HIPAA in the United States, which sets standards for protecting PHI (Department of Health and Human Services, 2015). 45 CFR Part 160 Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information (Privacy Rule) Health and Human Services (HHS) set the national standard for the types of covered entities under the regulation which include health plans, health care clearing houses, and health care providers who perform health care transactions electronically (Health & Human Services, 2015). Health innovation manufacturers do not fall under the Privacy Rule.

AI Policy Compliance. Health AI regulations in the US are changing as policymakers work to balance innovation with patient safety. The FDA regulates AI-powered medical devices but has limited authority over applications such as administrative software and wellness apps. The Biden-Harris Administration introduced guidelines for AI use in healthcare, while federal agencies have limited regulatory power (Biden Whitehouse, 2024).

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requires federal departments to adopt risk management practices for public-facing AI systems. The National Academy of Medicine reiterates the need for clarity on legal ethics and policy issues concerning privacy and liability in healthcare AI. Technology developments and integrations are common; thus, policymakers face challenges in keeping up.

Policy Recommendations

Patient-centered care has a conceptual overlap with patient empowerment, a slight nudge toward consumerism. Healthcare providers are committed to providing successful outcomes for their customers. However, ER providers experience some fatigue due to high patient flow, an emotionally high-stress environment, hindered access to timely information, and communication

barriers. The policy recommendation is centered around two individuals: the patient and the healthcare worker.

Health worker fatigue is repeatedly reported as a primary stressor. Peer support platforms have been developed to mitigate worker fatigue and burnout and advocate for healthcare workers (Naslund et al., 2016). Peer support platforms are increasingly recognized as essential tools in the healthcare industry, offering a way to address the mental and emotional well-being of healthcare workers. These platforms provide a space for professionals to share their experiences, seek advice, and offer support to one another, thus creating a network of mutual aid that can alleviate the burden of job-related stress and burnout.

The COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the necessity of peer-to-peer support platforms (Simms et al., 2023). Healthcare workers worldwide faced unprecedented challenges, from overwhelming caseloads to the risk of infection. During this period, peer support platforms became vital lifelines, allowing healthcare workers to share their experiences, seek emotional support, and receive practical advice on managing the crisis.

Peer support platforms play a crucial role in the healthcare industry, offering workers much-needed emotional and professional support. As the demands for healthcare professionals continue to rise, these platforms will become increasingly important in ensuring the sustainability and effectiveness of the healthcare workforce (Earheart & Crisanti, 2019). The emphasis on collaborative solutions and peer support naturally leads to patient-centered care, which focuses on empowering patients and ensuring their active involvement in their healthcare decisions.

Patient-centered care is not a new concept. Healthcare was not appreciated as a commodity. Good health was simply a means toward wellness, betterment, and flourishing.

Objectively, not all members of society can afford services. Consumerism is based mainly on autonomy (Latimer et al., 2017); however, others consider a free marketplace an option to choose while providing opportunity to evaluate and monitor service performance. Patient empowerment promises autonomy. The consumerist model is, therefore, unavoidable. Therefore, healthcare strategies should aim for sustainability and adaptability (Mathews et al., 2020); to that end, innovation tools and services are part of the delivery.

Conflict in the ER occurs between healthcare providers, patients, and their families. Different values and beliefs lead to these conflicts regarding the best course of action. For example, a patient's family might prioritize life-saving measures, while the patient might prioritize the quality of life. Hence, healthcare providers may experience emotional and moral distress when faced with ethical dilemmas, which are more apparent when the decisions conflict with their values or professional duties (Menon & Padhy, 2020).

Emergency medicine involves unpredictable and rapidly changing scenarios, and ER healthcare providers are unique. COVID-19 amplified adaptability, making it challenging to adhere strictly to ethical guidelines. Healthcare disparities, institutional policies, and socioeconomic factors also impact the ability to implement ethical principles consistently.

Healthcare professionals rely on moral self-consciousness and professional ethics to guide their decisions. Many adhered to principles such as beneficence (doing good), non-maleficence (avoiding harm), and justice (fair distribution of resources). These ethical principles helped them navigate the complex and challenging situations they faced during the pandemic. Policymakers can do well to recall this crisis to ensure that policies are robust and that staff are trained to handle data responsibility through sustainable privacy policies while enhancing trust and transparency.

ER and patient handoffs are critical. The need to share information with social workers, outsourced services, and community groups is valid. Therefore, it is essential to integrate new technologies and ensure they are secure and practical, easy to navigate with seamless transfer, interoperable, and updated with the latest software fixes and security measures. Balancing transparency and protecting patient information can be complex; however, patients must have access to information without compromising their privacy.

Though heavy with evaluation detail, the ER sustainability strategy provides the best prospect. Additionally, a boost to the strategy to support sustainability would be an expanded investigation into the individual, the patient, and the healthcare worker. SOS is an appropriate validation tool used to help pinpoint opportunity gaps. Collaborative input and consistent system and operation evaluation are necessary to grow with innovation, to make revisions, upgrades, and alignments to internal policies, government mandates, and required compliance.

Behavioral protocols can ensure continued respect for patient privacy and confidentiality. Confidential Communication involves discussing patient information in private settings and avoiding conversations in public areas where unauthorized individuals may overhear. Minimal disclosure suggests sharing only the necessary information with relevant team members and avoiding the unnecessary dissemination of patient details. Physical Security ensures that patient records, whether digital or paper-based, are stored securely and not left unattended inaccessible areas. Lastly, ER staff should be regularly trained in data privacy regulations, ethical considerations, and best practices for maintaining confidentiality.

Healthcare data privacy in ERs is a complex issue that demands a careful balance between ethical principles and practical considerations. By adhering to robust technical measures and behavioral protocols, healthcare providers can uphold the highest data privacy standards,

even in the most challenging and urgent situations. Maintaining patient trust, ensuring compliance with legal and ethical obligations, and fostering a culture of confidentiality are essential for delivering quality care and safeguarding patient rights**.**

Summary

Patient privacy, informed consent, and compassionate care were reintroduced in legislative Acts and Federal mandates requiring compliance or facing imposed penalties for failing to provide the required protection for patients and healthcare personnel. Digital health innovations and their introduction into healthcare have challenged providers and consumers to adhere to the required privacy protections. The challenge includes compliance through policy, continued education, and training, and with innovative modernization, policymakers must address disinterest in privacy and shift to interest or entrustment at more than face value.

Patient privacy in emergency medicine is a critical concern, particularly with the rise of digital health technologies. The research review emphasizes the importance of maintaining patient confidentiality in emergency settings, where healthcare providers must navigate ethical, legal, and practical challenges to protect sensitive information. Integrating digital tools has complicated the landscape, as breaches of confidentiality often occur in emergency departments due to their fast-paced and chaotic environments (Bijani et al., 2021; Wu & Ho, 2023).

The complexity of balancing technological advancements with ethical obligations has become increasingly evident (Ayinla et al., 2024). The rise of electronic health records (EHRs), wearable health devices, and telemedicine platforms has made patient data more accessible and vulnerable to breaches. Healthcare providers must navigate the delicate balance between leveraging these technologies for improved patient care and ensuring robust security measures are in place to protect sensitive information.

Regular data privacy and security protocol training sessions can help healthcare staff stay updated on the latest regulations and best practices. Additionally, fostering a culture of trust within healthcare institutions is crucial; when patients feel their data is handled with utmost care, they are more likely to engage and cooperate with healthcare providers, ultimately leading to better health outcomes.

The literature review highlights various normative ethical theories, including deontology and utilitarianism, that shape the understanding of patient confidentiality. Deontology focuses on the adherence to rules and principles, while utilitarianism evaluates the outcomes of actions taken in healthcare. These ethical foundations guide healthcare professionals in making ethical decisions regarding patient information (Geiderman et al., 2006; Smith & Brown, 2023).

Furthermore, the research includes historical cases that have challenged ethical principles, such as the landmark Buck v. Bell case, which raised significant questions about autonomy and informed consent. The implications of these cases, plus numerous bioethical violations, continue to resonate in modern medical ethics, underscoring the necessity for robust privacy protections in emergency medicine (Lombardo, 2008; Skloot, 2010).

Modern emergency medicine policies are evolving to incorporate patient-centered care and evidence-based practices. Integrating mHealth tools is seen as a double-edged sword, providing benefits in care delivery while introducing new vulnerabilities to patient data security. Emergency departments must balance leveraging technology and safeguarding patient privacy (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2015; Helm & Georgatos, 2014).

The role of artificial intelligence (AI) in emergency medicine is also examined. Efforts focus on its potential to enhance patient care while raising ethical concerns regarding data privacy. The research emphasizes the importance of developing AI systems that prioritize patient

confidentiality and ensure compliance with legal frameworks such as HIPAA (Smith & Lee, 2025; Robinson & Patel, 2024).

Future applications in emergency medicine are expected to increase the incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning technologies. These advancements promise to enhance patient care by providing rapid, accurate diagnostics and personalized treatment plans. As such, all applications must comply with Federal, State, and international regulations and other relevant data privacy architectures to uphold patient confidentiality and maintain trust.

One potential application is the development of AI-driven clinical decision support systems that assist healthcare providers in making informed decisions swiftly. These systems can analyze vast amounts of patient data while adhering to strict data privacy protocols, ensuring that sensitive information is protected. Moreover, wearable and mHealth tools will likely become more prevalent. These devices can continuously monitor patients' vital signs and securely transmit data to healthcare providers for further evaluation.

Telemedicine platforms will also play a significant role in the future of emergency medicine (O’Sullivan & Schneider, 2022). By enabling remote consultations and treatment, telemedicine can improve access to care, particularly in underserved areas. These platforms must incorporate robust security measures to protect patient data during transmission and storage.

To emphasize, ongoing training for healthcare staff on data privacy and security protocols is essential. Educating providers on the latest regulations and best practices will help maintain a culture of trust and confidentiality within healthcare institutions. Integrating advanced technologies in emergency medicine holds great promise for improving patient care. However, adherence to HIPAA and other discussed regulations will ensure that these innovations protect patient privacy and confidentiality.

In conclusion, the researcher advocates for a comprehensive approach to protecting patient privacy in emergency medicine. The strategy highlights the importance of continuous education, stringent privacy policies, and collaborative efforts among healthcare professionals. A holistic approach considers patients' unique needs and identities and facilitates peer support platforms for healthcare providers (Earheart & Crisanti, 2019; Gaeta, 2020). The objective is to build trust with patients while addressing the complexities of modern healthcare (Smith & Brown, 2023; Jones et al., 2023). Ultimately, this comprehensive strategy ensures the integrity and confidentiality of patient data while supporting the healthcare team.

References

Adeniyi, A. O., Arowoogun, J. O., Okolo, C. A., Chidi, R., & Babwarum, O. (2024). Ethical considerations in healthcare IT: a review of data privacy and patient consent issues. *World journal of advanced research and reviews, 21*(02), 1660-1668. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.2.0593

Alkan, M., Zakariyya, I., Leighton, S., Sivangi, K. B., Anagnostopoulos, C., & Deligianni, F. (2025). Artificial intelligence-driven clinical decision support systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.09628*.

Ayinla, B. S., Amoo, O. O., Atadoga, A., Abrahams, T. O., Osasona, F., & Farayola, O. A. (2024). Ethical AI in practice: Balancing technological advancements with human values. *International journal of science and research archive, 11*(1), 1311-1326.

Ballard, D. W., Rauchwerger, A. S., Reed, M. E., Vinson, D. R., Mark, D. G., Offerman, S. R., Chettipally, U. K., Graetz, I., Dayan, P., Kuppermann, N., & Kaiser Permanente CREST Network (2013). Emergency physicians' knowledge and attitudes of clinical decision support in the electronic health record: a survey-based study. *Academic emergency medicine: official journal of the society for academic emergency medicine*, *20*(4), 352–360. https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.12109

Beauchamp, T.L., & Childress, J.F. (1979/2019). *Principles of biomedical ethics*. Oxford University Press.

Beltran-Aroca, C. M., Girela-Lopez, E., Collazo-Chao, E., Montero-Pérez-Barquero, M., & Muñoz-Villanueva, M. C. (2016). Confidentiality breaches in clinical practice: what happens in hospitals?. *BMC medical ethics*, *17*, 1-12.

Bensing, J. (2000). Bridging the gap: the separate worlds of evidence-based medicine and patient-centered medicine. *Patient education and counseling*, *39*(1), 17-25.

Bentham, J. & Mill, J. S. (2003). *The classical utilitarians: Bentham and Mill*. Ed. Troyer, J. Hacket Publishing Company.

Beskow, L. M. (2016). Lessons from HeLa cells: the ethics and policy of biospecimens. *Annual review of genomics and human genetics*, *17*(1), 395-417.

Biden Whitehouse (2024). Fact sheet: Biden-Harris administration announces new AI actions and receives additional major voluntary commitment on AI. Retrieved from: https://bidenwhitehouse.archives.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2024/07/26/fact-sheet-biden-harris-administration-announces-new-ai-actions-and-receives-additional-major-voluntary-commitment-on-ai/

Bijani, M., Abedi, S., Karimi, S., & Tehranineshat, B. (2021). Major challenges and barriers in clinical decision-making as perceived by emergency medical services personnel: a qualitative content analysis. *BMC emergency medicine*, *21*, 1-12.

Black N., Jenkinson, C. (2009). Measuring patients' experiences and outcomes. *BMJ*, *339*, b2495.

Boonstra, A., Laven, M. (2022). Influence of artificial intelligence on the work design of emergency department clinicians a systematic literature review. *BMC Health Serv Res* (*22)*669. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-08070-7

Bouillon-Minois, J. B., Trousselard, M., Mulliez, A., Adeyemi, O. J., Schmidt, J., Thivel, D., Ugolue, U. C., Borel, M., Zak, M., Occelli, C., & Dutheil, F. (2023). A cross-sectional study to assess job strain of emergency healthcare workers by Karasek questionnaire: the SEEK study. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, *13*, 1043110.

Breen, L. M., Trepp, R., & Gavin, N. (2020). Lean process improvement in the emergency department. *Emergency medicine clinics*, *38*(3), 633-646.

Brown, J., & Smith, A. (2026). The impact of patient turnover on confidentiality in emergency departments. *Journal of emergency medicine*, *45*(3), 200-215.

Burley, D. (2011). Better communication in the emergency department. *Emergency Nurse*, *19*(2).

Chen, M., Guan, Q., & Zhuang, J. (2024). Patient-centered lean healthcare management from a humanistic perspective. *BMC Health services research*, *24*(1261). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-11755-w

Chukwuneke, F., & Ezenwugo, A. (2022). Deontology vs. utilitarianism: understanding the basis for the moral theories in medicine. *International journal of medicine and health development*, *27*(1), 19–23. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmh.IJMH_57_20

Coiera, E. (2006). Communication systems in healthcare. *Clinical biochemist reviews*, *27*(2), 89.

Corbie-Smith, G. (1999). The continuing legacy of the Tuskegee syphilis study: considerations for clinical investigation. *The American journal of the medical sciences*, *317*(1), 5-8.

Das, S., Edwards, W. K., Kennedy-Mayo, D., Swire, P., & Wu, Y. (2021). Privacy for the people? exploring collective action as a mechanism to shift power to consumers in end-user privacy. *IEEE security & privacy*, *19*(5), 66-70.

Degabriel, D., Petrino, R., Frau, E. D., & Uccella, L. (2023). Factors influencing patients' experience of communication with the medical team of the emergency department. *Internal and emergency medicine*, *18*(7), 2045–2051. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11739-023-03298-5

de Man, Y., Wieland-Jorna, Y., Torensma, B., de Wit, K., Francke, A. L., Oosterveld-Vlug, M. G., & Verheij, R. A. (2023). Opt-in and opt-out consent procedures for the reuse of routinely recorded health data in scientific research and their consequences for consent rate and consent bias: systematic review. *Journal of medical Internet research*, *25*, e42131.

Department of Health and Human Services (2015). *Health information privacy: general overview*. Retrieved from: https://www.hhs.gov/hipaa/for-professionals/privacy/guidance/generalverview/index.html

Dienlin, T. & Metzger, M. J. (2016). An extended privacy calculus model for SNSs: analyzing self-disclosure a self-withdrawal in a representative U.S. sample. *Journal of computer-mediated communication*, *21*(5), 368-383.

Dobešová Cakirpaloglu, S., Cakirpaloglu, P., Skopal, O., Kvapilová, B., Schovánková, T., Vévodová, Š., Greaves, J. P., & Steven, A. (2024). Strain and serenity: exploring the interplay of stress, burnout, and well-being among healthcare professionals. *Frontiers in psychology*, *15*, 1415996.

Driver, J. (2011). *Consequentialism*. Routledge.

Earheart, J., & Crisanti, A. (2019). *Peer support workers in the ED: a Report. Division of Community and Behavioral Health*. Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. University of New Mexico. Retrieved from: https://hsc.unm.edu/medicine/departments/psychiatry/cbh/docs/earheart-crisanti-peer-

support-workers-in-the-ed-final-report---combined.pdf

Esmaeilzadeh, P. (2020). The impacts of the privacy policy on individual trust in health information exchanges (HIEs). *Internet research, 30*(3), 811-843.

Fahimi, J., & Goldfrank, L. (2019). Principles of social emergency medicine. *Annals of emergency medicine, 74*(5), S6-S10.

Feretzakis, G., Karlis, G., Loupelis, E., Kalles, D., Chatzikyriakou, R., Trakas, N., Karakou, E., Sakagianni, A., Tzelves, L., Petropoulou, S., Tika, A., Dalainas, I., & Kaldis, V. (2022). Using machine learning techniques to predict hospital admission at the emergency department. *Journal of critical care medicine (Universitatea de Medicina si Farmacie din Targu-Mures), 8*(2), 107–116. https://doi.org/10.2478/jccm-2022-0003

Frazee, J., Finley, M., & Rohack, J. J. (2016). mHealth and unregulated data: is this farewell to patient privacy. *Ind. Health L. Rev., 13*, 384.

Gaeta, T. J. (2020). Need for a holistic approach to reducing burnout and promoting well-being. *Journal of the American college of emergency physicians open, 1*(5), 1050.

Galvagno M., Dalli D. (2014). Theory of value co-creation: a systematic literature review. *Managing service quality, 24*(6): 643-683.

Garfinkel, H. (1963) A conception of and experiments with 'trust' as a condition of concerted actions. In: Harvey OJ (ed.) *Motivation and Social Interaction: Cognitive Approaches*. Ronald Press, 187–238.

Geiderman, J. M., Moskop, J. C., & Derse, A. R. (2006). Privacy and confidentiality in emergency medicine: obligations and challenges. *Emergency medicine clinics of North America, 24*(3), 633.

Giles, T., de Lacey, S., & Muir-Cochrane, E. (2018). How do clinicians practise the principles of beneficence when deciding to allow or deny family presence during resuscitation?. *Journal of clinical nursing, 27*(5-6), e1214-e1224.

Godard, R. (2016). HIPAA Compliance Checklist | I.S. Partners, LLC Blog. Retrieved from: https://www.ispartnersllc.com/blog/hipaa-compliance-checklist/

Goto, T., Camargo, C.A., Faridi, M.K., Freishtat, R.J., & Hasegawa, K. (2019). Machine learning–based prediction of clinical outcomes for children during emergency

department triage. *JAMA Netw Open.2*(1):e186937-e.

Grant, K., McParland, A., Mehta, S., & Ackery, A. D. (2020). Artificial intelligence in emergency medicine: surmountable barriers with revolutionary potential. *Annals of emergency medicine*, *75*(6), 721–726.

Grassi, L., Nanni, M. G., Riba, M., & Folesani, F. (2024). Dignity in medicine: definition, assessment and therapy. *Current psychiatry reports*, *26*(6), 273-293.

Green, T., & White, P. (2025). Professional integrity and patient care. *International journal of medical practice*, *42(*3), 233-245.

Gutierrez A.M., Hofstetter, J.D., Dishner , E.L., Chiao, E., Rai, D., & McGuire, A.L. (2020). A right to privacy and confidentiality: ethical medical care for patients in United States immigration detention. *The journal of law, medicine & ethics,48*(1), 161-168. https://doi:10.1177/1073110520917004

Hassija, V., Chamola, V., Mahapatra, A., Singai, A., Goel, D., Huang, K., Scardapane, S., Spinelli, I., Mahmud, M., & Hussain, A. (2024). Interpreting black-box models: a review on explainable artificial intelligence. *Cognitive computation*, *16*, 45-74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12559-023-10179-8

He, J., Baxter, S.L., Xu, J. et al. (2019). The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine. *Nat Med 25*, 30–36. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0307-0

Helm, A. M., & Georgatos, D. (2014). Privacy and mHealth: how mobile health apps fit into a privacy framework not limited to HIPAA. *Syracuse L. Rev.*, *64*, 131.

Hemmerling, T. (2010). Decision support systems in anesthesia, emergency medicine and intensive care medicine. Ed. Jao, C. In *Efficient decision support systems-Practice and challenges in biomedical related domain*. https://doi.org/10.5772/16957

Holden, R. J. (2011). Lean thinking in emergency departments: a critical review. *Annals of emergency medicine*, *57*(3), 265-278.

Igwama, G. T., Olaboye, J. A., Maha, C. C., Ajegbile, M. D., & Abdul, S. (2024). Integrating electronic health records systems across borders: Technical challenges and policy solutions. *International medical science research journal*, *4*(7), 788-796.

Iserson, K. V. (2024). Informed consent for artificial intelligence in emergency medicine: a

practical guide. *The American journal of emergency medicine, 76*, 225-230.

Jargin, S. (2016). On the use of human tissues in research and practice. *Journal of interdisciplinary histopathology. 4*, 46-47. https://doi.org/10.5455/jihp.20160607021524

Jawad, L. A. (2024). Security and privacy in digital healthcare systems: challenges and mitigation strategies. *Abhigyan, 42*(1), 23-31. https://doi.org/10.1177/09702385241233073

John, S., & Wu, J. (2022). "First, do no harm"?: non-maleficence, population health, and the ethics of risk. *Social theory and practice 48*(3), 525-551. https://doi.org/10.5840/soctheorpract2022218152

Johnson, A. M., Brimhall, A. S., Johnson, E. T., Hodgson, J., Didericksen, K., Pye, J., Corey Harmon, G. J., & Sewell, K. B. (2023). A systematic review of the effectiveness of patient education through patient portals. *JAMIA open*, *6*(1), ooac085. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamiaopen/ooac085

Johnson, R. (2024). Patient trust and confidentiality in healthcare settings. *Health policy and ethics*, *28*(1), 56-68.

Jones, G., Macaninch, E., Mellor, D. D., Spiro, A., Marytn, K., Butler, T., Johnson, A., & Moore, J. B. (2023). Putting nutrition education on the table: development of curriculum to meet future doctors' needs. *British journal of nutrition, 29*, 1000-1008. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114522001635

Jones, J. H. (1993). *Bad Blood: The Tuskegee Syphilis Experiment.* Free Press

Kabirinaeini, M., Jamali, H., & Elahi, Z. (2023). A framework for implementing lean management in the hospital emergency department. *Trends in medical sciences*, *3*(2).

Kapp, M. B. (2006). Ethical and legal issues in research involving human subjects: do you want a piece of me?. *Journal of clinical pathology*, *59*(4), 335-339.

Ker, J. A., & Outhoff, K. (2023). The role of kindness in medical ethics: balancing virtue and ethical principles. *South African general practitioner, 4*(4), 121-123.

Kezer, M., Dienlin, T., & Baruh, L. (2022). Getting the privacy calculus right: analyzing the relations between privacy concerns, expected benefits, and self-disclosure using response surface analysis. *Cyberphsychology: journal of psychosocial research on cyberspace,*

16(4).

Kiura, M. K. (2024). Literary detachment for politeness and effective communication in healthcare services. *Sustainable development*, *22*, 11.

Koeske, G. F., & Koeske, R. D. (1993). A preliminary test of a stress-strain-outcome model for reconceptualizing the burnout phenomenon. *Journal of social service research*, *17*(3-4), 107-135.

Kpamma, Z. E., Agyefi-Mensah, S., & Sadick, A. M. (2023). Stakeholder experiential knowledge as evidence in redesigning health-care facilities for improved usability. *Facilities*, *41*(1/2), 46-64.

Krugman, S. 1986. The Willowbrook hepatitis studies revisited: ethical aspects. *Rev infect dis, 8,* 157-62. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/8.1.157

Landman, A.B., Tilak, S.S., & Walker, G.A.(2024). Artificial intelligence–generated emergency department summaries and hospital handoffs. *JAMA Netw Open. 7(*12):e2448729. https://doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.48729

Larkin, G. L. (1999). Evaluating professionalism in emergency medicine: clinical ethical competence. *Academic emergency medicine*, *6*(4), 302-311.

Latimer, T., Rosecamp, J., & Papanikitas, A. (2017). Patient-centredness and consumerism in healthcare: an ideology mess. *Journal of the royal society of medicine, 110*(11) 425-427. https://doi.org/10.117/0141076817731905

Leonard, F., Gilligan, J., & Barrett, M. (2023). Supporting clinical decision making in the emergency department for paediatric patients using machine learning: a scoping review protocol. *PLoS One*, *18*(11), e0294231.

Limentani, A. E. (1999). The role of ethical principles in health care and the implications for ethical codes. *Journal of medical ethics*, *25*(5), 394-398.

Lohr, K.N., & Zebrack, B.J.(2009). Using patient-reported outcomes in clinical practice: challenges and opportunities. *Qual life res, 18*, 99–107.

Lombardo, P. A. (2008). *Three Generations, No Imbeciles: Eugenics, the Supreme Court, and Buck v. Bell.* Johns Hopkins University Press.

Mangal, J. P., & Scheiner, N. S. (2024). The limitations of principlism. *AJOB*

neuroscience, *15*(1), 17-19.

Marchant, G. E. (2011). The growing gap between emerging technologies and the law. In G. Marchant, B. Allenby, & J. Herkert (Eds.), *The growing gap between emerging technologies and legal-ethical oversight: the pacing problem* (pp. 19-32). Springer.

Martínez-Pérez, B., De La Torre-Díez, I., & López-Coronado, M. (2015). Privacy and security in mobile health apps: review and recommendations. *Journal of medical systems*, *39*, 1-8.

Masters, J. R. (2002). HeLa cells 50 years on: the good, the bad and the ugly. *Nature reviews cancer*, *2*(4), 315-319.

Mathews, S. C., Cohen, A. B., & Makary, M. A. (2020). Implications of consumerism in health care. *Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology*, *18*(7), 1412-1416.

Matzke, C. M., DeGennaro, R., & Howie-Esquivel, J. (2021). Incorporating TeamSTEPPS training to improve staff collaboration in an academic level I emergency and trauma center. *International emergency nursing*, *55*, 100959. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ienj.2020.100959

McKenna, R. M., Purtle, J., Nelson, K. L., Roby, D. H., Regenstein, M., & Ortega, A. N. (2018). Examining EMTALA in the era of the patient protection and Affordable Care Act. *AIMS public health*, *5*(4), 366–377. https://doi.org/10.3934/publichealth.2018.4.366

Menon, V., & Padhy, S. K. (2020). Ethical dilemmas faced by health care workers during COVID-19 pandemic: Issues, implications and suggestions. *Asian journal of psychiatry*, *51*, 102116.

Mensah, G. B. (2024). AI and Medical Negligence. *Africa journal for regulatory affairs (AJFRA)*, 46–61. https://doi.org/10/62839/AJFRA.v01i01.46-61

Mercier, I. (2005). *Virtue in client centred care: a philosophical investigation of virtue and nursing in Canadian healthcare* [Doctoral dissertation, Concordia University].

Mlinek, E. J., & Pierce, J. (1997). Confidentiality and privacy breaches in a university hospital emergency department. *Academic emergency medicine*, *4*(12), 1142-1146.

Moskop, J. C., Marco, C. A., Larkin, G. L., Geiderman, J. M., & Derse, A. R. (2005). From Hippocrates to HIPAA: privacy and confidentiality in emergency medicine—part I: conceptual, moral, and legal foundations. *Annals of emergency medicine*, *45*(1), 53-59.

Mostafa, R., & El-Atawi, K. (2024). Strategies to measure and improve emergency department performance: a review. *Cureus*, *16*(1).

Murphy, A., Reddy, M. C., & Xu, H. (2014). Privacy practices in collaborative environments: a study of emergency department staff. *CSCW, February 15-19, 2024*, 269-282. https://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2531602.2531643

Murphy, M. (2020). The privacy paradox: investing discrepancies between expressed privacy concerns and actual online behavior: a systematic literature review. *Telematics and informatics*, *34*(7), 1038-1058.

Naslund, J. A., Aschbrenner, K. A., Marsch, L. A., & Bartels, S. J. (2016). The future of mental health care: peer-to-peer support and social media. *Epidemiology and psychiatric sciences*, *25*(2), 113-122.

National Academy of Medicine. (2025). Toward a code of conduct framework for artificial intelligence in health, health care, and biomedical science. Retrieved from: https://nam.edu/our-work/programs/leadership-consortium/health-care-artificial-intelligence-code-of-conduct/

Noroozi, M., Zahedi, L., Bathaei, F. S., & Salari, P. (2018). Challenges of confidentiality in clinical settings: compilation of an ethical guideline. *Iranian journal of public health*, *47*(6), 875–883.

O'Sullivan, S. F., & Schneider, H. (2022). Developing telemedicine in Emergency Medical Services: A low-cost solution and practical approach connecting interfaces in emergency medicine. *The journal of medicine access*, *6*, 27550834221084656.

Patel, R., & Johnson, L. (2026). Training emergency department staff in secure information handling. *International journal of emergency medicine*, *32*(4), 310-325.

Patil, S., & Shankar, H. (2023). Transforming healthcare: harnessing the power of AI in the modern era. *International journal of multidisciplinary sciences and arts*, *2*(2), 60-70.

Polese F., & Capunzo M. (2013). The determinants of translational medicine success. A managerial contribution. *Translational medicine @ UniSa*, *6*, 29-34.

Polese, F., Tartaglione, A. M., & Cavacece, Y. (2016, September). *Patient empowerment for healthcare service quality improvements: A value co-creation view*. In Proceedings of the

19th Toulon-Verona International Conference Excellence in Services, Huelva, Spain (pp. 5-6).

Ramesh, A. N., Kambhampati, C., Monson, J. R., & Drew, P. J. (2004). Artificial intelligence in medicine. *Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England*, *86*(5), 334–338. https://doi.org/10.1308/147870804290

Rawling, P. (2023). *Deontology*. Cambridge University Press.

Robinson, W. M., & Unruh, B. T. (2008). The hepatitis experiments. *The Oxford textbook of clinical research ethics*, 80.

Rowe, A., & Knox, M. (2022). The impact of the healthcare environment on patient experience in the emergency department: a systematic review to understand the implications for patient-centered design. *HERD*, *16*(2), 310-329. https://doi.org/10.1177/19375867221137097

Rubenfeld, J. (1989). The right of privacy. *Harvard Law Review*, 737-807.

Saberi, M. A., Mcheick, H., & Adda, M. (2025). From data silos to health records without borders: a systematic survey on patient-centered data interoperability. *Information*, *16*(2), 106.

Sade, R. M. (2012). Health care reform: ethical foundations, policy, and law. *Journal of the American college of surgeons*, *215*(2), 286-296.

Sakib, N., Veeramalla, H. S. J., Naidu, N. A. R., Anik, F. I., Vanga, L. R., Valero, M., & Hossain, E. (2023). Unpacking privacy calculus and interplay of data privacy and healthcare: paths towards safeguarding patient empowerment. In *Current and Future Trends in Health and Medical Informatics* (pp. 137-171). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Sharma, S. K., Al-Wanain, M. I., Alowaidi, M., & Alsaghier, H. (2024). Mobile healthcare (m-Health) based on artificial intelligence in healthcare 4.0. *Expert systems*, *41*(6), e13025.

Simms, L., Ottman, K. E., Griffith, J. L., Knight, M. G., Norris, L., Karakcheyeva, V., & Kohrt, B. A. (2023). Psychosocial peer support to address mental health and burnout of health care workers affected by COVID-19: a qualitative evaluation. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *20(*5), 4536.

Skloot, R. (2010). *The Immortal Life of Henrietta Lacks*. Crown Publishing Group.

Smith, J., & Brown, L. (2023). Ethical considerations in emergency medicine: balancing patient privacy and care. *Journal of medical ethics, 49*(2), 123-134.

Smith, J., & Brown, M. (2023). Ensuring data privacy in emergency medicine: ethical and legal perspectives. *Journal of emergency medicine, 45*(2), 123-135.

Smith, J., & Jones, M. (2012). Informed consent in medical research: bridging the gap between ethical theory and practice. *Journal of medical ethics, 38(*4), 243-250.

Smith, J., & Jones, R. (2023). Ethical frameworks in emergency room practices. *Journal of medical ethics, 49*(3), 123-135.

Smith, J., & Lee, A. (2025). Artificial intelligence and patient confidentiality: ethical challenges in modern healthcare. *Journal of medical ethics, 31*(2), 123-136.

Staunton, C., Slokenberga, S., & Mascalzoni, D. (2019). The GDPR and the research exemption: considerations on the necessary safeguards for research biobanks. *European journal of human genetics : EJHG, 27*(8), 1159–1167. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-019-0386-5

Stiell, I. G., & Wells, G. A. (1999). Methodologic standards for the development of clinical decision rules in emergency medicine. *Annals of emergency medicine, 33*(4), 437-447.

Stanton, J. R. (1995). *The 1995 restatement of the Hippocratic Oath*. The National Catholic Bioethics Center.

Tariq, R.A., & Hackert, P.B. (2025). Patient Confidentiality. In: StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK519540/

Taylor, C., & Benger, J. R. (2004). Patient satisfaction in emergency medicine. *Emergency medicine journal*, *21*(5), 528-532.

Thacharodi, A., Singh, P., Meenatchi, R., Tawfeeq Ahmed, Z. H., Kumar, R. R. S., V, N., Kavish, S., Maqbool, M., & Hassan, S. (2024). Revolutionizing healthcare and medicine: the impact of modern technologies for a healthier future-a comprehensive review. *Health care science*, *3*(5), 329–349. https://doi.org/10.1002/hcs2.115

Theodos, K., & Sittig, S. (2020). Health information privacy laws in the digital Age: HIPAA doesn't apply. *Perspectives in health information management*, *18*(Winter), 1l.

Tiso, A., Crema, M., & Verbano, C. (2021). A framework to guide the implementation of lean management in emergency department. *Journal of health organization and management,*

35(9), 315-337.

Toussaint, J.S. & Berry, L. L. (2013). The promise of lean in health care. *Mayo Clin Proc. 88*(1), 74-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.10.008

Trocin, C., Cardoso, E., & Mikalef, P. (2024). Algorithmic evaluations in breast cancer: the case of Champalimaud Foundation. *Procedia computer science*, *239*, 1770-1777.

Trocin, C., Hovland, I. V., Mikalef, P., & Dremel, C. (2021). How artificial intelligence affords digital innovation: a cross-case analysis of Scandinavian companies. *Technological forecasting and social change*, *173*, 121081.

Tsangaris, E., Edelen, M., Means, J., Gregorowitsch, M., O'Gorman, J., Pattanaik, R., Dominici, L., Hassette, M., Lynch Witkowski, M., Schrieber, K., Frank, E., Carnie, M., & Pusic, A. (2022). User-centered design and agile development of a novel mobile health application and clinician dashboard to support the collection and reporting of patient-reported outcomes for breast cancer care. *BMJ Surg Interv Health Technologies*, *4*, e000119. https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/classify-your-medical-device/how-

Turowetz, J., & Rawls, A. W. (2021). The development of Garfinkel's 'Trust' argument from 1947 to 1967: demonstrating how inequality disrupts sense and self-making. *Journal of classical sociology*, *21*(1), 3-37.

US Food & Drug Administration. (2022). Medical device data systems, medical image storage devices, and medical image communications devices: guidance for industry and food and drug administration staff. Retrieved from: https://www.fda.gov/media/88572/download

US Food & Drug Administration. (2022). How to determine if your product is a medical device. Retrieved from: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/classify-your-medical-device/how-determine-if-your-product-medical-device

Varkey, B. (2021). Principles of clinical ethics and their application to practice. *Medical principles and practice*, *30*(1), 17-28.

Vearrier, L., Derse, A. R., Basford, J. B., Larkin, G. L., & Moskop, J. C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in emergency medicine: benefits, risks, and recommendations. *The journal of emergency medicine*, *62(*4), 492-499.

Wang, W., Wu, Q., Li., D. & Tian, X. (2025). An exploration of the influencing factors of privacy

fatigue among mobile social media users from the configuration perspective. *Scientific reports, 15*(427).

Wartman, S.A., & Combs, C. D. (2019). Reimagining medical education in the age of AI. *AMA journal of ethics, 21*(2), 146–152. https://doi.org/10.1001/amajethics.2019.146

Weller, J. M., Mahajan, R., Fahey-Williams, K., & Webster, C. S. (2024). Teamwork matters: team situation awareness to build high-performing healthcare teams, a narrative review. *British journal of anaesthesia, 132*(4), 771-778.

Williams, A. M., & Sahel, J. A. (2022). Addressing social determinants of vision health. *Ophthalmology and therapy, 11*(4), 1371-1382.

World Medical Association. (2025). Declaration of Geneva: the "Modern Hippocratic Oath". Retrieved from https://www.wma.net/what-we-do/medical-ethics/declaration-of-geneva/

Wu, T. C., & Ho, C. T. B. (2023). A scoping review of metaverse in emergency medicine. *Australasian emergency care, 26*(1), 75-83.

Zhu, M., Wu, C., Huang, S., Zheng, K., Young, S. D., Yan, X., & Yuan, Q. (2021). Privacy paradox in mHealth applications: an integrated elaboration likelihood model incorporating privacy calculus and privacy fatigue. *Telematics and informatics, 61*, 101601.